

REMARKS

I. INTRODUCTION

Claims 1, 3, 20, 22 and 39 have been amended. Claims 2, 7, 9-19, 21, 26, 28-38 and 40 have been canceled. Thus, Claims 1, 3-6, 8, 20, 22-25, 27, 39 and 41-43 are now pending in the present application. No new matter has been added. In view of the above amendments and the following remarks, it is respectfully submitted that all of the presently pending claims are allowable.

III. THE 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) REJECTIONS SHOULD BE WITHDRAWN

Claims 1-3, 8, 20-22, 27, 39 and 41-43 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,507,734 to Berger et al. (hereinafter "Berger") in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,652,602 to Fishman et al. (hereinafter "Fishman"). (See 07/30/08 Office Action, p. 2-9).

Claims 2 and 21 have been cancelled.

Berger describes a method for establishing secure wireless links between a handset and a base station in cordless telephone systems. (See Berger Abstract). The handset and the base unit communicate via a radio frequency communication link. (See Berger col. 4, ll. 6-9). The base unit is connected through a hard wire connection to the public switched telephone network. (See Berger col. 4, ll. 10-12).

Claim 1 recites "[a] method for configuring a mobile device, comprising: receiving a video signal encoded with configuration data from an interface unit proximate the mobile device; configuring the mobile device based on the configuration data; and *initiating a connection between the mobile device and a communication network responsive to configuring the mobile device.*"

The Examiner asserts that the recitation in claim 1 is taught by Berger in view of Fishman. (See 07/30/2008 Office Action, p. 3-4). Claim 1 has been amended to include the subject matter of cancelled claim 2. The Examiner asserts that Berger teaches the subject matter of cancelled claim 2 in Column 2 lines 50-58. (See 07/30/2008 Office Action, p. 4). Applicants respectfully disagree.

Berger describes a handset with the capability to establish a radio frequency link with the base station. (See Berger col. 4, ll. 6-9). In Berger, the base station is hard wired to the public switched telephone network. (See Berger, col. 4, ll. 10-12, Fig. 1). The Examiner asserts that “the radio frequency link is seen to be the connection that is initiated between the mobile device and the communication network.” (See 07/30/2008 Office Action, p. 4). However, the handset in Berger is only capable of establishing a radio frequency link with the base station. (See Berger, col. 2, ll. 50-58, col. 4, ll. 10-12, Fig. 1). The handset is not capable of establishing any direct or independent link with the public switched telephone network. Additionally, the handset is not capable of establishing a secure link to the public switched telephone network. In contrast, claim 1 recites “[a] method for configuring a mobile device, comprising: receiving a video signal encoded with configuration data from an interface unit proximate the mobile device; configuring the mobile device based on the configuration data; and *initiating a connection between the mobile device and a communication network responsive to configuring the mobile device.*”

Applicants respectfully submit that Fishman fails to cure the above described deficiency of Berger. Thus, Applicants respectfully submit that claim 1 is allowable over Berger alone or in combination with Fishman. Because claims 3, 8 and 41-42 depend from and therefore include all of the limitations of claim 1, it is respectfully submitted that these claims are also allowable for at least the same reasons given above with respect to claim 1.

Independent claim 20 recites “[a] mobile device, comprising: a video sensor; and a processing unit coupled to the video sensor and adapted to receive a signal encoded with configuration data through the video sensor from an interface unit proximate the mobile device and configure the mobile device based on the configuration data, wherein the processing unit is further adapted to initiate a connection with a communication network responsive to configuring

the mobile device.” Thus, Applicants respectfully submit that claim 20 is allowable for at least the same reasons as claim 1. Because claims 22, 27 and 43 depend from and therefore include all of the limitations of claim 20, it is respectfully submitted that these claims are also allowable for at least the same reasons given above with respect to claim 20.

Independent claim 39 recites “[a]n apparatus, comprising: means for receiving a video signal encoded with configuration data from an interface unit proximate a mobile device; and means for configuring the mobile device based on the configuration data; and means for initiating a connection between the mobile device and a communication network responsive to configuring the mobile device.” Thus, Applicants respectfully submit that claim 39 is allowable for at least the same reasons as claim 1.

Claims 4-6 and 23-25 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as unpatentable over Berger in view of Fishman in further view of “Wireless Headset HDW-2 User’s Guide” to Nokia (hereinafter “HDW-2 User’s Guide”). (See 07/30/08 Office Action, p. 9-12).

Applicants respectfully submit that HDW-2 User’s Guide does not cure the above described deficiencies of Berger and Fishman with respect to claim 1. Therefore, Applicants respectfully submit that claim 1 is patentable over HDW-2 User’s Guide. Because claims 4-6 depend from, and therefore include all the limitations of claim 1, it is respectfully submitted that these claims are also allowable for at least the same reasons given above with respect to claim 1.


Applicants respectfully submit that HDW-2 User’s Guide does not cure the above described deficiencies of Berger and Fishman with respect to claim 20. Therefore, Applicants submit that claim 20 is patentable over HDW-2 User’s Guide. Because claims 23-25 depend from, and therefore include all the limitations of claim 20, it is respectfully submitted that these claims are also allowable for at least the same reasons given above with respect to claim 20.

CONCLUSION

In light of the foregoing, Applicants respectfully submit that all of the now pending claims are in condition for allowance. All issues raised by the Examiner having been addressed, an early and favorable action on the merits is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: September 29, 2008


By: _____
Oleg E. Kaplun (Reg. No. 45,559)

Fay Kaplun & Marcin, LLP
150 Broadway, Suite 702
New York, NY 10038
Phone: 212-619-6000
Fax: 212-619-0276